## Example

Given: $\overline{R O N Y}$

$$
\overline{R O} \cong \overline{N Y}
$$



Prove: RN = OY

Looking at this picture, we start off with a line segment and $\overline{R O} \cong \overline{N Y}$. I want to prove RN = OY, but I don't have an RN or an OY in the problem. So, I have to ask myself, how can I get them in the problem? If I used the Segment Addition Postulate in the picture, I have RO + ON = RN. That gives am the RN I need.

So, we want to add $\overline{O N}$ to both segments. But we don't have a theorem or postulate that allows us to add segments together - only distances associated with those segments. The other hint that I had to get rid of the segment notation was I had to prove the distances were equal.

|  |  | STATEMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | REASONS |  |
| 1. | $\overline{R O N Y}, \overline{R O} \cong \overline{N Y}$ | Given |
| 2. | $\mathrm{RO}=\mathrm{NY}$ | Def of Congruence |
| 3. | $\mathrm{ON}=\mathrm{ON}$ | Reflexive Property |
| 4. | $\mathrm{RO}+\mathrm{ON}=\mathrm{NY}+\mathrm{ON}$ | Add Prop Equality |
| 5. | $\mathrm{RO}+\mathrm{ON}=\mathrm{RN}$ | Segment Add Postulate |
| 6. | $\mathrm{ON}+\mathrm{NY}=\mathrm{OY}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{RN}=\mathrm{OY}$ | Substitution into step 4 |  |

